(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.NO. 1704 / 2004

Petitioner : Gendu Ram Khairwar S/o Bisuha Ram Khairwar, Aged about 59 years, Assistant Treasury Officer, District Treasury, Collectorate, Bilaspur, R/o Mukti Dham Chowk, Mishra Bada, Sarkanda, Bilaspur-(C.G.)

Versus

Respondents :

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Department of Finance, D.K.S Bhawan, Raipur-(C.G.)

2. Secretary Department of Panchayat and Rural Development, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, DKS Bhawan, Raipur-(C.G.)

3. Treasury Officer, Collectorate, Bilaspur-(C.G.)

4. Commissioner, Treasury Accounts & Pension, Government of Chhattisgarh, Raipur-(C.G.)

Field on 22-6-04
by Shri 1688 [a] Advocate
22-6-04
R. to Adv

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

(72)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 1704/04 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

19/8/2004

Shri P.Diwakar for the petitioner.

Shri Sandeep Dubey, Panel Lawyer, for the State.

Return has been filed.

Heard.

It is submitted that the petitioner was transferred on deputation and he filed writ petition bearing No.726/04, which was disposed of by this Court vide order dated 7/1/2004 with a direction to make a detailed representation and on such representation being made the same shall be considered and decided by the competent authority/respondent no.4 on merits as early as possible preferably within a period of one month from the date of receipt of the representation. It is further submitted that in compliance of the said order, the petitioner made the representation before the competent authority, which has not been decided so far in spite of the directions of this Court.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State referred to the document Annexure-R/2, wherefrom it is amply clear that the decision of the State is awaited. He further submitted that the competent authority is the State Govt.

In view of the peculiar facts and circumstances as the earlier order for deciding the representation has not been considered, let the representation be made by the petitioner afresh within fifteen days and on such representation being made, the State Govt. shall

[पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(73)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... **सन्** **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित — 2 —	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	consider and decide the same by a reasoned order considering the grounds urged. Till the decision of the representation, the petitioner shall continue to work at the present place of posting. It is made clear that in case any grievance still subsists, the petitioner may avail the remedy available at law. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.W.P. No.1523/04 also stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. **Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge**	